WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YO			
RYAN BARLESTON,			
	Plaintiff,		
v.		Civil Action No	
ALLIED INTERSTATE, INC. a/k/a ALLIED INTERSTATE, LLC			
	Defendant.		

INTEREST OF A TERM DIGERRICATION COLUMN

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for actual and statutory damages brought in response to Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* (hereinafter "FDCPA") which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises under 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1337.
- 3. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) in that the Defendant transacts business here and the conduct complained of occurred here.

III. PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff, Ryan Barleston, is a natural person residing in the County of Erie and State of New York and is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 5. Defendant, Allied Interstate, LLC, is a foreign limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Minnesota and is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).
- 6. Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 7. The acts of the Defendant alleged hereinafter were performed by its employees acting within the scope of their actual or apparent authority.

8. All references to "Defendant" herein shall mean the Defendant or an employee of the Defendant.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. That Plaintiff incurred a debt to Sprint. This debt will be referred to as "the subject debt"
- 10. That the subject debt arose out of a transaction in which money, services or property, which was the subject of the transaction, was primarily for personal, family and/or household purposes. As such, said debt is a "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).
- 11. That Plaintiff thereafter defaulted on the subject debt.
- 12. That upon information and belief Defendant was employed by Sprint to collect on the subject debt.
- 13. That in or about February of 2013, Defendant began calling Plaintiff multiple times per week, often multiple times per day, in an attempt to collect on the subject debt.
- 14. That during the first of these aforementioned calls, Plaintiff informed Defendant that he was on social security disability and would have to wait for his benefits to come in to pay the subject debt. Defendant called Plaintiff back the same night and threatened to call his neighbors and place of employment if he didn't pay the full amount immediately.
- 15. Plaintiff inquired if he could pay half the debt in March and the other half in April and Defendant responded they wanted the whole payment and again told Plaintiff they would call his neighbors and place of employment.
- 16. That in March of 2013 Defendant again called Plaintiff. That in this conversation, Plaintiff again reiterated that he would pay as soon as he received his social security benefits. Defendant responded by asking him if he was slow or retarded and demanding immediate payment. Defendant stated she would not stop calling him until the bill was paid.
- 17. That as a result of Defendant's acts Plaintiff became nervous, upset, anxious, and suffered from emotional distress.

V. CAUSE OF ACTION

18. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 17 above.

- 19. The conduct of Defendant as described in this complaint violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq.) as follows:
 - A. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692c(a)(1) by calling the Plaintiff after the hour of 9:00 p.m.
 - B. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692d and 15 U.S.C. §1692d(2) by using language the natural consequence of which is to abuse the hearer as described in paragraph 17, and by threatening to call Plaintiff's neighbors and place of employment.
 - C. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692d and 15 U.S.C. §1692d(5) by repeatedly causing Plaintiff's telephone to ring with the intent to annoy, abuse or harass.
- 20. That as a result of the Defendant's FDCPA violations as alleged herein, Plaintiff became nervous, upset, anxious and suffered from emotional distress.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered against the Defendant for:

- (a) Actual damages;
- (b) Statutory damages for pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.
- (c) Costs, disbursements and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.
- (d) For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

VI. JURY DEMAND

Please take notice that Plaintiff demands trial by jury in this action.

Dated: May 1, 2013

/s/ Seth J. Andrews_

Seth J. Andrews, Esq. Kenneth R. Hiller, Esq. Law Offices of Kenneth Hiller, PLLC Attorneys for the Plaintiff 6000 North Bailey Ave., Suite 1A Amherst, NY 14226 (716) 564-3288 Email: sandrews@kennethhiller.com

Email: sandrews@kennethhiller.com khiller@kennethhiller.com